

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/725,356	11/29/2000	Elango Pakriswamy	V44.12-0138 1295	
164 75	90 12/19/2003		EXAMI	NER
KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.			KAPADIA, VARSHA A	
	& LANGE BUILDING		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
312 SOUTH TH	HRD STREET		AKTONII	FAFER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS	S, MN 55415-1002		2651	1
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/725,356	PAKRISWAMY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Varsha A Kapadia	2651		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No.	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiry within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE and the communication, even if timely filed overmber 2003.  action is non-final.  Ince except for formal matters, profix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 44 application.	nely filed  /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133). Id, may reduce any  Desecution as to the merits is		
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 19-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		N ( IV (0)		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language process.	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 st sentence of the specification of existence application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1200	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. eand/or 121 since a specific		
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2651

This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on November 14, 2003. Claims 1-16 and 19-20 are pending.

## Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ngo et al (5,793,551).

With regards to claim 1, Ngo et al discloses a differential amplifier circuit (see fig.3 and disclosure thereof) comprising: a first and second nodes (see elements 18-21); a first amplifier circuit including an input transistor (see fig.3 elements 44, 58); a second amplifier circuit including an input transistor (see 46, 60); a first coupling circuit including a capacitor and an active element coupled in series between the first input node and the base of the input transistor of the second amplifier circuit (see fig. 3 elements 42, 62, 66, 54 and disclosure thereof); a second coupling circuit including a capacitor and an active element coupled in series between the second input node and the base of the input transistor of the first amplifier circuit (see fig.3 elements 42, 62, 66, 54 and disclosure thereof);

With regards to claim 2, Ngo et al discloses a differential amplifier circuit as described above in this office action with respect to claim 1, wherein Ngo et al that the first and second

Art Unit: 2651

amplifier circuits each include a collector circuit connected between fixed potential (VCC) as claimed and a current generator (see fig.3 elements 52, 70, 72 and 74 and disclosure thereof).

With regards to claims 3- 4, Ngo et al further discloses that the amplifier circuit comprises collector circuit of each of the amplifier circuits includes a cascode stage; a cascode transistor having a base connected to a bias potential and the emitter is connected to the collector of the input transistor of the respective amplifier circuits (see fig.4 elements 70, 72, 74, 76, 58,44, 46, 60 and disclosure thereof); a resistor as claimed (see fig.4 elements 48, 50, 66, and 68).

With regards to claim 5, Ngo et al discloses differential amplifier circuit comprises a transistor...; a capacitor (see fig.3 elements 62 and 64 and disclosure thereof); a capacitor as claimed (see fig.3 elements 40, 42, 62, 64, 58, 60, 44, 46 and disclosure thereof).

### Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-16 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ngo et al in view of applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA).

With regards to claims 6-12, limitations recited in claims 6-12 are met in the rejections of claims 1-5 as described above in this office action. Claims 6-12 further recite that the information is read using magnetoresistive head. Ngo et al discloses a read head but fails to specify that the head is a magnetoresistive head.

Art Unit: 2651

. a

However, magnetoresistive heads are well known and widely used as read head in the art as also acknowledged by applicant on pages 1-2 of the present application.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of Ngo et al to provide a capability of reading the recorded signals using magnetoresistive head, magnetoresistive heads well known and widely used as an alternative read element capability and hence to increase the flexibility.

With regards to claim 13, Ngo discloses a read system comprising: a first and second input nodes (figs 3-4 elements 18-21); a first transistor...and second transistors...(see figs. 3-4 elements 44, 46, 58 and 60 and disclosure thereof); a third transistor... and a fourth transistor...(see fig.4 elements 70 and 72); a first resistor...; a second resistor...(see figs. 3-4 elements 48,50 and disclosure thereof); a first current generator... a second current generator...(see figs. 3-4 elements 52, 72); a fifth transistor... and a sixth transistor...(see figs. 3-4 elements 62, 64 and disclosure thereof); a first capacitor... and a second capacitor... (see figs. 3-4 elements 40 and 42); a third current generator and a fourth current generator... (see figs. 3-4 elements 70, 72 and disclosure thereof).

Ngo et al however, fails to disclose that the read head is a magnetoresistive type read head. AAPA discloses such limitations as described above in this office action and therefore, AAPA is relied upon for the same reasons as stated above.

With regards to claims 14-16, these limitations are met in the rejection of claims 6-12 as applied above in this office action.

With regards to claims 19 and 20, Ngo et al further teaches steps of coupling the respective capacitor and respective active element in series between the respective input signal

Art Unit: 2651

node and the other amplifier transistor comprises connecting a control element of the active elements to the input signal node and connecting a controlled element of the active elements to the input signal nodes and connecting a controlled element of the active element to a control element of the other amplifier transistor (see figs. 3 and 4).

#### **Prior Art Cited**

Reference to Voorman et al (5,909,024) cited as of interest.

### Response to Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 and 19-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Varsha A Kapadia whose telephone number is (703) 305-4198. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed from 6:30 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Hudspeth can be reached on (703) 308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

VK

DAVID HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600